

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KDH ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, INC.,	:	CIVIL ACTION
et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
CURTIS TECHNOLOGY LTD.,	:	
et al.	:	NO. 08-2201

ORDER

AND NOW, this 19th day of March, 2010, upon consideration of Defendants Thomas E. Curtis's and Michael J. Curtis's Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 73), the plaintiffs' response, and defendants' reply thereto, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, for the reasons stated in a memorandum of today's date, that the defendants' motion is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part as follows:

1. The defendants' motion to dismiss defendant Michael J. Curtis for lack of personal jurisdiction is GRANTED;
2. The defendants' motion to dismiss defendant Thomas Curtis for lack of personal jurisdiction is DENIED; and

3. The defendants' motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' breach of contract claims against defendant Thomas Curtis for failure to state a claim is DENIED without prejudice.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Mary A. McLaughlin
MARY A. McLAUGHLIN, J.